arXiv:1005.1754v4 [math.AC] 4 Dec 2010

Vanishing Theorem of Dual Bass Numbers

Lingguang Li
Academy of Mathematics and Systems Science, Chinese Academy of Sciences,
Beijing, P.R.China
E-mail: LG.Lee@amss.ac.cn

Abstract: In this paper, we prove a vanishing theorem of Dual Bass numbers (Theorem 5.10). Precisely, let R be a U ring, M an Artinian R-module, $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Cos}_R M$, if $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0$, then

$$\operatorname{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq i \leq \operatorname{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M),$$

where $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M))$ is the i-th Dual Bass number of M with respect to $\mathfrak{p}$, and the flat dimension $\operatorname{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$ is possibly infinite. Moveover, if $\operatorname{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = s$, $\operatorname{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = t < \infty$, then $\pi_s(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0$ and $\pi_t(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0$.

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 13C14, 13C15, 13E10.
Keywords. Filter co-regular sequence, Co-localization, Co-dimension, Dual Bass numbers.

1. **Introduction**

Let R be a Noetherian ring, M a R-module, $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$. H. Bass defined so called Bass numbers $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ by using the minimal injective resolution of M for all integers $i \geq 0$, and proved that $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \operatorname{Ext}^i_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), M_\mathfrak{p})$, (see [1]). E. Enochs and J. Z. Xu defined the Dual Bass numbers $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ by using the minimal flat resolution of M for all $i \geq 0$ in [7], and showed that $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M))$ for any Cotorsion R-module M. Comparing the formulas of $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ and $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$, we see that Ext is replaced by Tor and the localization $M_\mathfrak{p}$ is replaced by co-localization $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$ respectively.

It is well known that if M is a finitely generated R-module, $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Supp}_R M$, then $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{depth}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} M_\mathfrak{p} \leq i \leq \operatorname{id}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} M_\mathfrak{p}$, where the injective dimension $\operatorname{id}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} M_\mathfrak{p}$ is possibly infinite. However, we know little about the vanishing properties of the Dual Bass numbers of modules over Noetherian rings so far. In this paper, we show that the index i of the non-vanishing Dual Bass numbers $\mu_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ is bounded by co-grade and flat dimension of the co-localization modules respectively, (see Theorem 5.10). Besides, we also study the relations among co-grade, co-dimension and flat dimension of co-localization modules.

2. **Preliminaries**

L. Melkersson and P. Schenzel introduced the co-localization of modules in [11]. Let R be a ring, $S \subseteq R$ a multiplicative set, and M an R-module. The R_S-module $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, M)$ is called the **co-localization** of M with respect to S. The **co-support** of M is defined by

$$\operatorname{Cos}_R M = \{\ \mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Spec} R \mid \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0\ \}.$$

Definition 2.1. *Let R be a ring, a subset X of Spec R is called a* ***saturated subset*** *of Spec R, if X satisfies one of the following conditions: (i). $X = \emptyset$; (ii). $X \neq \emptyset$, and $V(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec } R \mid \mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}\} \subseteq X$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in X$.*

Let $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in$ Spec R, and $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$. By Hom-Tensor adjoint isomorphic theorem we have isomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{R_\mathfrak{q}}(R_\mathfrak{p}, \text{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{q}, M)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p} \otimes_{R_\mathfrak{q}} R_\mathfrak{q}, M) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

So if $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Cos}_R\ M$, then $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Cos}_R\ M$. This implies that the co-support of any R-module is a saturated subset of Spec R.

Definition 2.2. *Let R be a ring, a representable R-module M is said to be a* ***strongly representable R-module****, if $(0 :_M I) = \{x \in M \mid I \subseteq Ann_R(x)\}$ is representable for any finitely generated ideal I of R.*

If M is an Artinian R-module, then M is a strongly representable R-module with respect to the discrete topology. However, we will show that a strongly representable module may not be an Artinian module. In order to get this, we need the following proposition.

Proposition 2.3. *Let R be a ring, $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in R$, and M a linearly compact R-module. Then $(0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n))$, $(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)M$, and $M/(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)M$ are linearly compact R-modules.*

Proof. Since $\varphi : M \xrightarrow{x_1} M$ is a continuous R-module homomorphism, and $\{0\}$ is a closed submodule of M. We have $\ker(\varphi) = (0 :_M x_1)$ and $\text{Im}(\varphi) = x_1 M$ are both linearly compact R-module by Lemma 2.2 in [3]. Moreover, since

$$(0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_s)) = \ker((0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{s-1})) \xrightarrow{x_s} (0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{s-1})))$$

for $s = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, the proposition is followed by induction on n. □

Proposition 2.4. *Let R be a ring, $S \subseteq R$ a multiplicative set, M a (resp. strongly) representable linearly compact R-module. Then $Hom_R(R_S, M)$ is a (resp. strongly) representable R_S-module. Moreover, the functor of co-localization $Hom_R(R_S, -)$ is an exact functor from the category of (resp. strongly) representable linearly compact R-modules to the category of (resp. strongly) representable R-modules.*

Proof. Let M be a representable linearly compact R-module. By Corollary 3.3 in [3], there exists a minimal secondary representation of M such that all the secondary components are linearly compact submodules. Let $M = M_1 + M_2 + \cdots + M_n$ be a minimal secondary representation of M such that all M_i are linearly compact. After renumbering, we can assume that there exists an integer m, $1 \leq m \leq n$, such that $\mathfrak{p}_i = \sqrt{\text{Ann}_R M_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ and $S \cap \mathfrak{p}_i = \emptyset$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, and $S \cap \mathfrak{p}_i \neq \emptyset$, for $i = m + 1, m + 2, \cdots, n$. Then, by Theorem 4.2 in [3],

$$\text{Hom}_R(R_S, M) = \text{Hom}_R(R_S, M_1) + \text{Hom}_R(R_S, M_2) + \cdots + \text{Hom}_R(R_S, M_m)$$

is a minimal secondary representation of $\text{Hom}_R(R_S, M)$ as R-module, and $\text{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$ are $\mathfrak{p}$-secondary R-modules. We will prove that $\text{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i)$ is a $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_i$ secondary $S^{-1}R$-module for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Let $r/s \in R_S$. If $r \in \mathfrak{p}_i$, then $r^n \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i) = 0$ for some n. Therefore, $(r/s)^n \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i) = 0$. If $r \in R - \mathfrak{p}$, then $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i) \xrightarrow{r} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i)$ is surjective. Thus, $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i) \xrightarrow{r/s} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i)$ is also surjective. Hence, $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, M_i)$ is a $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}_i$ secondary $S^{-1}R$-module.

Let M be a strongly representable linearly compact R-module. It remains to prove that $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, M)$ is a strongly representable R_S-module, i.e., $(0 :_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S,M)} I)$ is a representable R_S-module for any finitely generated ideal I of R_S.

Let $I = (x_1/s_1, x_2/s_2, \cdots, x_n/s_n)$, where $x_i \in R, s_i \in S$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(0 :_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S,M)} I) &= (0 :_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S,M)} (x_1/1, x_2/1, \cdots, x_n/1)) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, (0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n))).\end{aligned}$$

Since $(0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n))$ is a representable linearly compact R-module, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, (0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)))$$

is a representable R_S-module.

Since co-localization preserves the exactness of short exact sequence of linearly compact modules by Corollary 2.5 in [3], co-localization functor $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_S, -)$ is an exact functor from category of (resp. strongly) representable linearly compact R-modules to category of (resp. strongly) representable R-modules. □

Remark 2.5. *Let R be a ring, $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Spec R, M an Artinian R-module, then $Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$ may not be an Artinian $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module. However, $Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$ must be a strongly representable $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module. Therefore, in general, the category of Artinian modules is a proper subcategory of that of strongly representable modules.*

The concept of Krull dimension (Kdim) for Artinian modules was introduced by R. N. Roberts in [12]. Later, Kirby [9] changed the terminology of Roberts and referred to Noetherian dimension (Ndim) to avoid any confusion with well-known Krull dimension defined for finitely generated modules. Let R be a ring, M a R-module. The Noetherian dimension of M, denoted by $\mathrm{Ndim}_R\, M$, is defined inductively as follows: when $M = 0$, put $\mathrm{Ndim}_R\, M = -1$. Then by induction, for an integer $d \geq 0$, we put $\mathrm{Ndim}_R\, M = d$, if $\mathrm{Ndim}_R\, M = d$ is false and for every ascending chain $M_0 \subseteq M_1 \subseteq \cdots$ of submodules of M, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that $\mathrm{Ndim}_R(M_{n+1}/M_n) < d$ for all $n > n_0$. Therefore $\mathrm{Ndim}_R\, M = 0$ if and only if M is a non-zero Noetherian module.

On the other hand, for any representable linearly compact module M, $\mathrm{Att}_R\, M$, $\mathrm{Cos}_R\, M$, $\mathrm{Ann}_R\, M$ have same minimal elements by Corollary 4.3 in [3]. Thus

$$\sup\{\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\, M\} = \sup\{\dim R/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\, M\} = \dim R/(\mathrm{Ann}_R\, M).$$

Hence, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.6. *Let R be a ring, and M an R-module. The* ***Co-dimension*** *of M is defined by the integer (possibly infinite)*

$$Co\text{-}dim_R\, M = sup\{\, dim\, R/\mathfrak{p} \mid \mathfrak{p} \in Cos_R\, M\, \}.$$

We except that $\mathrm{Ndim}_R\, M = \mathrm{Co\text{-}dim}_R\, M$ which is an Artinian modules analogue of the relation between Krull dimension and the maximal depth of associated primes of finitely generated modules over Noetherian rings. Unfortunately, this equality does not holds in general. There exists an Artinian module M over a Noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ such that $\mathrm{Ndim}_R\ M < \text{Co-dim}_R\ M$, See example 4.1 in [4]. However, we have the following result.

Lemma 2.7 ([10], Proposition 2.1). *Let R be a Noetherian ring, M an Artinian R-module such that $Ann_R\ (0 :_M \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in V(Ann_R\ M)$. Then*

$$Ndim_R\ M = Co\text{-}dim_R\ M.$$

In addition, let R be a ring (not necessarily Noetherian), $S \subseteq R$ a multiplicative set, M a representable linearly compact R-module. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Co-dim}_{S^{-1}R}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(S^{-1}R, M) &= \sup\{\ \dim S^{-1}R/S^{-1}\mathfrak{p} |\ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M, \mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset\ \} \\ &= \sup\{\ \dim\ S^{-1}R/S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}\ |\ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M,\ \mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset\ \}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M$, we denote

$$\mathrm{ht}_M \mathfrak{p} = \sup\{\ n\ |\ \mathfrak{p}_0 \subsetneqq \mathfrak{p}_1 \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq \mathfrak{p}_n,\ \mathfrak{p}_i \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M \text{ for } i = 0, 1, \cdots, n\ \}.$$

It is obvious that $\mathrm{ht}_M\ \mathfrak{p} = \text{Co-dim}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$.

3. Filter co-regular sequence and Co-grade

Definition 3.1. *Let R be a ring, $X \subseteq Spec\ R$ and M an R-module. A sequence $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in R$ $(n > 0)$ is called an M-**filter co-regular sequence with respect to** X, if*

$$Cos_R\ ((0 :_M (x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1}))/x_i(0 :_M (x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1}))) \subseteq X \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$

For any R-module M, any M-quasi co-regular sequence is a M-filter co-regular sequence with respect to $\emptyset$. Moreover, if M is a linearly compact R-module, then the converse is also true by Corollary 4.3 in [2].

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, X a saturated set of Spec R and M a representable linearly compact R-module. Then $x \in R$ is a M-filter co-regular element with respect to X if and only if $x \in R - \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in Att_R\ M - X} \mathfrak{p}$.*

Proof. (Necessity). Let $x \in R$ be a M-filter co-regular element with respect to X. Then $\mathrm{Att}_R\ (M/xM) \subseteq \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M/xM) \subseteq X$, since M/xM is a representable linearly compact R-module. Suppose that there exists a prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M - X$, such that $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, by Theorem 4.5 in [3], we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M \cap \mathrm{V}((x)) = \mathrm{Att}_R\ (M/xM)$. This contradicts to $\mathrm{Att}_R\ (M/xM) \subseteq X$. Hence, $x \in R - \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M - X} \mathfrak{p}$.

(Sufficiency). Let $M = M_1 + M_2 + \cdots + M_n$ be a minimal secondary representation of M, where M_i is a $\mathfrak{p}_i$ secondary R-module for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, and $\mathrm{Att}_R\ M = \{\ \mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \cdots, \mathfrak{p}_n\}$. Let $x \in R - \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M - X} \mathfrak{p}$.

(i). If $x \in R - \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M} \mathfrak{p}$, then $M = xM$. Thus $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M/xM) = \emptyset \subseteq X$. Hence, $x \in R$ is a M-filter co-regular element with respect to X.

(ii). If $x \in \mathfrak{p}_i \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M \cap X$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m$, where $1 \leq m \leq n$, and $x \in R - \mathfrak{p}_i$ for $i = m + 1, m + 2, \cdots, n$, then

$$xM = x(M_1 + M_2 + \cdots + M_n) = xM_1 + xM_2 + \cdots + xM_m + M_{m+1} \cdots + M_n$$

and

$$M/xM = (M_1 + M_2 + \cdots + M_n)/(xM_1 + xM_2 + \cdots + xM_m + M_{m+1} \cdots + M_n)$$

$$\cong (M_1{+}M_2{+}\cdots{+}M_m)/((M_1{+}M_2{+}\cdots{+}M_m)\cap(xM_1{+}xM_2{+}\cdots{+}xM_m{+}M_{m+1}\cdots{+}M_n)).$$

Thus $\mathrm{Att}_R\ (M/xM) \subseteq \mathrm{Att}_R\ (M_1 + M_2 + \cdots + M_m) = \{\ \mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2, \cdots, \mathfrak{p}_m\}$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Att}_R\ (M/xM)$ and $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M/xM)$ have same minimal elements, since M/xM is a representable linearly compact R-module. So $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M/xM) \subseteq \bigcup_{1\le i\le m} \mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{p}_i) \subseteq X$. □

Corollary 3.3. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, and X a saturated subset of Spec R. Let I be an ideal of R and M a representable linearly compact R-module. Then $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M/IM) \subseteq X$ if and only if there is a M-filter co-regular element with respect to X contained in I.*

Proof. Since M/IM is a representable linearly compact R-module, then by Theorem 4.5 in [3], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M/IM) \subseteq X &\iff \mathrm{Att}_R\ (M/IM) \subseteq X\\
&\iff \mathrm{Att}_R\ M \cap \mathrm{V}(I) \subseteq X\\
&\iff I \nsubseteq \bigcup_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M - X} \mathfrak{p}\\
&\iff I \text{ contains a } M\text{-filter co-regular element with respect to } X.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.4. *Let R be a ring, $X \subseteq$ Spec R (not necessary a saturated subset of Spec R) and M a linearly compact R-module. Then $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in R$ is a M-filter co-regular sequence with respect to X if and only if $x_1/1, x_2/1, \cdots, x_n/1 \in R_\mathfrak{p}$ is a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$-quasi co-regular sequence for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M - X$.*

Proof. For any $1 \le i \le n$, consider the exact sequence of linearly compact R-modules

$$(0 :_M (x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1})) \xrightarrow{x_i} (0 :_M (x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1})) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Coker}(x_i) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Corollary 2.5 in [3], we have exact sequences of $R_\mathfrak{p}$-modules

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0 :_M (x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1}))) \xrightarrow{x_i/1} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0 :_M (x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1}))) \longrightarrow$$

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{Coker}(x_i)) \longrightarrow 0,$$

for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\ R - X$.

Since $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0 :_M (x_1, \cdots, x_{i-1}))) = (0 :_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)} (x_1/1, \cdots, x_{i-1}/1))$, we have the following equivalent statements:

The sequence $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ is an M-filter co-regular sequence with respect to X.
$\iff \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (\mathrm{Coker}(x_i)) \subseteq X$ for $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.
$\iff (0 :_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)} (x_1/1, \cdots, x_{i-1}/1)) \xrightarrow{x_i/1} (0 :_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)} (x_1/1, \cdots, x_{i-1}/1))$ is surjective for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M - X$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$.
$\iff x_1/1, x_2/1, \cdots, x_n/1 \in R_\mathfrak{p}$ is a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$-quasi co-regular sequence for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M - X$. □

Definition 3.5. *Let R be a ring, $X \subseteq$ Spec R (not necessary a saturated subset of Spec R). An R-module sequence*

$$M_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} M_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} M_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_n} M_n$$

is called a ***co-exact sequence of*** R***-modules with respect to*** X*, if* $f_{i+1} \circ f_i = 0$*, and* Cos_R $(\mathit{Ker}\ (f_{i+1})/\mathit{Im}\ (f_i)) \subseteq X$ *for* $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n-1$.

Lemma 3.6. *Let R be a ring, $X \subseteq$ Spec R (not necessary a saturated subset of Spec R) and a complex of R-modules $C.$:*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{f_{i-2}} M_{i-1} \xrightarrow{f_{i-1}} M_i \xrightarrow{f_i} M_{i+1} \xrightarrow{f_{i+1}} \cdots$$

where M_i are linearly compact R-module, f_i are continuous homomorphisms for all integers $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $C.$ is a co-exact sequence of R-modules with respect to X if and only if $\mathit{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, C.)$ is an exact sequence of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules for any $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Spec $R - X$.

Proof. Since the kernel and cokernel of a continuous homomorphism between linearly compact R-modules are linearly compact R-modules, and the co-localization preserves the exactness of linearly compact R-modules, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{H}_i(\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, C.)) &= \mathrm{Ker}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, d_{i+1}))/\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, d_i)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Ker}(d_{i+1}))/\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Im}(d_i)) \\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{H}_i(C.)),\ \text{for all } i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and for any } \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $C.$ is a co-exact sequence of R-modules with respect to X if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{H}_i(C.)) = 0$, for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Spec $R - X$. Equivalently, $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, C.)$ is an exact sequence of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules for all $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Spec $R - X$. □

The following theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.7. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, X a saturated subset of Spec R. Let I be an ideal of R and M a strongly representable linearly compact R-module. Then for a given integer $n > 0$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i). Cos_R $(\mathit{Tor}^R_i(N, M)) \subseteq X$ for all $0 \leq i < n$ and for any finitely generated R-module N with Supp_R $N \subseteq V(I)$;

(ii). Cos_R $(\mathit{Tor}^R_i(R/I, M)) \subseteq X$ for all $0 \leq i < n$;

(iii). There exists a M-filter co-regular sequence with respect to X of length n contained in I.

Proof. (i)$\Longrightarrow$(ii). Obviously.

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$(iii). We use induction on n. For the case $n = 1$ we have $R/I \otimes_R M = M/IM$ and Cos_R $(M/IM) \subseteq X$. Then the assertion is followed by Corollary 3.3. Suppose that $n > 1$, and the statement holds up to $n - 1$. Let $x_1 \in I$ be a M-filter co-regular element with respect to X, and $M_1 = (0 :_M x_1)$. Then M_1 is a strongly representable linearly compact R-module. Moreover, we get the following co-exact sequence of R-modules with respect to X,

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} M \longrightarrow 0.$$

For $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Spec $R - X$, by Lemma 3.6 we have an exact sequence of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \xrightarrow{x_1/1} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

From this we can get a long exact sequence of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_1^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)) \longrightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_1) \longrightarrow$$

$$R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \xrightarrow{x_1/1} R_p/IR_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M)) \cong \mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M))$ by the proof of Theorem 3.6 in [2], we get $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M_1)) \subseteq X$ for all $0 \leq i < n-1$. Then the statement is followed by the induction hypothesis.

(iii)$\Longrightarrow$(i). Let $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n \in I$ be a M-filter co-regular sequence with respect to X of length n. Since $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Ann}_R(N)) = \mathrm{Supp}_R N \subseteq \mathrm{V}(I)$, there exists $m > 0$, such that $x_1^m \in \mathrm{Ann}_R(N)$. We use induction on n.

For the case $n = 1$, we take co-localization to the homomorphism of R-modules $M \xrightarrow{x_1} M$ and get an exact sequence of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \xrightarrow{x_1/1} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \longrightarrow 0$$

for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\ R - X$. By right exactness of tensor product, we have an exact sequence of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules

$$N_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \xrightarrow{x_1/1} N_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Since $x_1^m \in \mathrm{Ann}_R(N)$, we have $(x_1/1)^m \in \mathrm{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, N \otimes_R M) \cong N_p \otimes_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) = 0$$

for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\ R - X$. Hence $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (N \otimes_R M) \subseteq X$.

Suppose that $n > 1$, and the statement holds up to $n-1$. Set $M_1 = (0 :_M x_1)$. Consider the co-exact sequence of R-modules with respect to X

$$0 \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} M \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\ R - X$, by Lemma 3.6, we have a short exact sequence of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \xrightarrow{x_1/1} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Therefore, for any integer $i \geq 0$, we have an exact sequence of $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$-modules

$$\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)) \xrightarrow{x_1/1} \mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M))$$

$$\longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_1)).$$

By induction hypothesis we have $\mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M_1)) = 0$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\ R - X$ and for any $0 \leq i < n-1$. Moreover, $(x_1/1)^m \in \mathrm{Ann}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}})$, since $x_1^m \in \mathrm{Ann}_R(N)$. Then $\mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(N_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)) = 0$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec}\ R - X$ and any $0 \leq i < n-1$. Hence, $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(N, M)) \subseteq X$ for any $0 \leq i < n$. □

Definition 3.8. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, X a saturated subset of Spec R, I an ideal of R and M a strongly representable linearly compact R-module. Then we define M-**filter cograde with respect to** X **contained in I** by the integer (possibly infinite)*

$$Cograde_X(I, M) = inf\ \{\ i \mid Cos_R\ (Tor_i^R(R/I, M)) \nsubseteq X\ \}.$$

By Theorem 3.7, it is easy to obtain the following facts:

(1). $\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M)$ is possibly equal to ∞. For example, if $X = \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M) \cap \mathrm{V}(I)$.

(2). $\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M)$ is the common length of each maximal M-filter co-regular sequence with respect to X contained in I.

(3). Let $I^{'}$ be another ideal of R, such that $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{I^{'}}$, then

$$\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M) = \mathrm{Cograde}_X(I^{'}, M).$$

(4). Let R be a Noetherian ring, M a strongly representable linearly compact R-module. If $X = \emptyset$, then M-filter co-regular sequence with respect to $\emptyset$ is equivalent to M-quasi co-regular sequence. Since $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M)$ is a linearly compact R-module for any $i \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M)) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M) = 0$. Therefore, $\mathrm{Cograde}_\emptyset(I, M) = \inf\ \{\ i \mid \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M)) \neq 0\}$ equals to the length of any maximal M-co-regular sequence in I. Hence, $\mathrm{Cograde}_\emptyset(I, M) = \mathrm{Cograde}_R(I, M)$, where $\mathrm{Cograde}_R(I, M)$ is defined for Artinian R-module M in [13]. By Theorem 3.7, we can see that this definition can also be applied for strongly representable linearly compact R-modules.

(5). Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, $I \subseteq R$ an ideal and M an Artinian R-module. Then for any $i \geq 0$, $\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M)$ is an Artinian R-module. Since $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ M \subseteq \{\mathfrak{m}\}$ if and only if M is a finite length R-module, by Theorem 3.7, we have $\mathrm{Cograde}_{\{\mathfrak{m}\}}(I, M) = \inf\ \{\ i \mid \mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M)$ is not a finite length $R-$module $\}$.

(6). Any M-filter co-regular sequence with respect to X contained in I of finite length can be extended to a maximal one.

4. **Filter co-regular sequence and Quasi co-regular sequence**

Now, we will generalize some results of [13] and [14], and extend the theory of quasi co-regular sequence to some class of modules which are not necessarily Artinian.

Proposition 4.1. *Let R be a Noetherian ring , M a strongly representable linearly compact R-module, $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Spec R, and I an ideal of $R_\mathfrak{p}$. Then for a given integer $n > 0$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

(1). *$\mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(N, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) = 0$ for all $0 \leq i < n$ and for any finitely generated $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module N with $\mathrm{Supp}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} N \subseteq V(I)$.*

(2). *$\mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/I, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) = 0$ for any $0 \leq i < n$.*

(3). *There exists a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$-quasi co-regular sequence of length n contained in I.*

Proof. We will assume that $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M$, since if $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M$, this Proposition is obvious.

(1)⇒(2). Obviously.

(2)⇒(3). We use induction on n. For the case $n = 1$, let $J \subseteq R$ be an ideal such that $I = JR_\mathfrak{p}$. Since

$$(R_\mathfrak{p}/I) \otimes_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (R/J) \otimes_R M) = 0,$$

we have $\mathfrak{p} \notin \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M/JM)$. Let $X = \{\ \mathfrak{q} \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Spec}\ R,\ \mathfrak{q} \nsubseteq \mathfrak{p}\}$. Then X is a saturated subset of Spec R. Obviously, $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M/JM) \subseteq X$ and there exists a

M-filter co-regular element $x \in J$ with respect to X by Corollary 3.3. Then by Proposition 3.4, we know that $x/1 \in I$ is a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)$-quasi co-regular element.

For the case $n > 1$, the proof of (3)⇒(1) is similar to that of Theorem 3.7. We will not go into details. $\square$

With the same notation of Proposition 4.1, we denote

$$\mathrm{Cograde}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(I, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)) = \inf\{\ i \mid \mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/I, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)) \neq 0\ \}.$$

In particular, if $I = \mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, we simply write $\mathrm{Cograde}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)$. From Proposition 4.1 we see that $\mathrm{Cograde}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(I, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M))$ is equal to the length of any maximal $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)$-quasi co-regular sequence contained in I, and any $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)$-quasi co-regular sequence contained in I can be extended to a maximal one.

Proposition 4.2. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, X a saturated subset of Spec R, and $I \subsetneq R$ a proper ideal of R, and M a strongly representable linearly compact R-module. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} Cograde_X(I, M) &= inf\,\{Cograde_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\ Hom_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)|\ \mathfrak{p} \in Cos_R\ (M) \cap V(I) - X\} \\ &= inf\,\{Cograde_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, Hom_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M))|\ \mathfrak{p} \in Cos_R\ (M) \cap V(I) - X\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. If $\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M) = \infty$, there is nothing to prove. So we assume that $\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M) < \infty$. If $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M) \cap \mathrm{V}(I) \subseteq X$, then

$$\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M)) \subseteq X$$

for any $i \geq 0$. This induces a contradiction. Hence $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M) \cap \mathrm{V}(I) \nsubseteq X$.

(i). Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M) \cap \mathrm{V}(I) - X$. Then we have

$$\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M) \leq \mathrm{Cograde}_X(\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq \mathrm{Cograde}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M).$$

Let $x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n$ be a maximal M-filter co-regular sequence with respect to X contained in I. So there exists no $(0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n))$-filter co-regular element contained in I. By Proposition 3.2, there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ (0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n)) - X$, such that $I \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M) \cap \mathrm{V}(I) - X$, and

$$\mathfrak{p}R_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathrm{Att}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, (0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n))).$$

Since $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, (0 :_M (x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n))) \cong (0 :_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)} (x_1/1, x_2/1, \cdots, x_n/1))$, $x_1/1, x_2/1, \cdots, x_n/1 \in R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a maximal $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)$ co-regular sequence. Thus

$$\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M) = \mathrm{Min}\{\ \mathrm{Cograde}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M) \cap \mathrm{V}(I) - X\}.$$

(ii).Assume that $\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M) = n$. Then $\mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(R_{\mathfrak{p}}/IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)) = 0$ for any $0 \leq i < n$ and for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M) \cap \mathrm{V}(I) - X$. Moreover, there exists $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M) \cap \mathrm{V}(I) - X$, such that $\mathrm{Tor}_n^{R_{\mathfrak{q}}}(R_{\mathfrak{q}}/IR_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M)) \neq 0$. Hence

$$\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M) = \inf\,\{\mathrm{Cograde}_{R_{\mathfrak{p}}}(IR_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_{\mathfrak{p}}, M))|\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M) \cap \mathrm{V}(I) - X\}.$$

$\square$

Let R be Noetherian ring, I a ideal of R, N a finitely generated R-module. If $IN \neq N$, then the $\mathrm{depth}_R(I, N) < \infty$. The dual question for Artinian module M is to ask when $\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M) < \infty$. We will give some sufficient conditions for finiteness of $\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M)$.

Lemma 4.3. *Let R be a ring, M an Artinian R-module. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

(i). $Ann_R(0:_M \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in V(Ann_R(M))$.

(ii). $Cos_R\ (0:_M I) = Cos_R\ M \cap V(I)$ for any ideal I of R.

Proof. (i) $\Longrightarrow$(ii). Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Since $I \subseteq \mathrm{Ann}_R\ (0:_M I)$, and

$$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Ann}_R(0:_M I)) = \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (0:_M I) \subseteq \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M),$$

we have $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (0:_M I) \subseteq \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M \cap \mathrm{V}(I)$.

For any ideal I of R, if $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ M \cap \mathrm{V}(I) = \emptyset$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ M \cap \mathrm{V}(I) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M \cap \mathrm{V}(I)$. Since

$$\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (0:_M \mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Ann}_R(0:_M \mathfrak{p})),\ \text{and}\ \mathrm{Ann}_R(0:_M \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}\ \text{for any}\ \mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Ann}_R(M))$$

we have $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (0:_M \mathfrak{p})$. Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0:_M I)) &= (0:_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M)} IR_\mathfrak{p}) \\ &\supseteq (0:_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M)} \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}) \\ &= \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0:_M \mathfrak{p})) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

hence $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (0:_M I)$.

(ii)$\Longrightarrow$(i). Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Ann}_R(M))$. Then

$$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Ann}_R(0:_M \mathfrak{p})) = \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (0:_M \mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (M) \cap \mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{V}(\mathfrak{p}).$$

Moreover, since $\mathfrak{p} \subseteq \mathrm{Ann}_R(0:_M \mathfrak{p})$, we have $\mathrm{Ann}_R(0:_M \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, X a saturated subset of Spec R and $I \subsetneqq R$ a proper ideal of R. Let M be an Artinian R-module such that*

$$Ann_R(0:_M \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}\ \textit{for any}\ \mathfrak{p} \in V(Ann_R(M)).$$

Then $Cograde_X(I, M) < \infty$ if and only if $Cos_R\ M \cap V(I) \nsubseteq X$.

Proof. (Necessity). If $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ M \cap \mathrm{V}(I) \subseteq X$, then $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (\mathrm{Tor}_i^R(R/I, M)) \subseteq X$ for any $i \geq 0$, this contradicts to $\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M) < \infty$. Hence $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ M \cap \mathrm{V}(I) \nsubseteq X$.

(Sufficiency). For $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M \cap \mathrm{V}(I) - X$. Then by Lemma 4.3 we have

$$(0:_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M)} IR_\mathfrak{p}) = \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0:_M I)) \neq 0.$$

Let $x_1/s_1, x_2/s_2, \cdots, x_r/s_r \in IR_\mathfrak{p}$ be a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$ co-regular sequence. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (0:_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M)} (x_1/s_1)) &\supsetneqq (0:_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M)} (x_1/s_1, x_2/s_2)) \\ &\supsetneqq (0:_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M)} (x_1/s_1, x_2/s_2, \cdots, x_r/s_r)). \end{aligned}$$

Otherwise, there must exist some integer i, $1 \leq i \leq r$, such that

$$(0:_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M)} (x_1/s_1, x_2/s_2, \cdots, x_i/s_i)) = 0.$$

This contradicts to $0 \neq (0:_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M)} IR_\mathfrak{p} \subseteq (0:_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M)} (x_1/s_1, x_2/s_2, \cdots, x_i/s_i))$. It follows that $(x_1/s_1) \subsetneqq (x_1/s_1, x_2/s_2) \subsetneqq \cdots \subsetneqq (x_1/s_1, x_2/s_2, \cdots, x_r/s_r)$. Since $R_\mathfrak{p}$ is a Noetherian ring, therefore every $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$ co-regular sequence in $IR_\mathfrak{p}$ must be of finite length. Hence there exists an integer n, such that

$$\mathrm{Tor}_n^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/IR_\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) \neq 0.$$

Thus $\mathrm{Cos}_R\ (\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(R/I, M)) \nsubseteq X$. Hence $\mathrm{Cograde}_X(I, M) < \infty$. □

By the proof of Proposition 4.4, we note that if R is a Noetherian ring, and M is an Artinian R-module such that $\mathrm{Ann}_R(0 :_M \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Ann}_R(M))$, then $\mathrm{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) < \infty$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\, M$.

N. T. Cuong, N. T. Dung and L. T. Nhan given an example to show that the equivalent conditions of Lemma 4.3 are not true for general Artinian modules in [5]. However, they also have given some sufficient conditions for that.

([5], Proposition 2.1) Let $(R, \mathfrak{m})$ be a Noetherian local ring, M an Artinian R-module. Then $\mathrm{Ann}_R(0 :_M \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{V}(\mathrm{Ann}_R(M))$, if one of the following cases happens:

(i). R is complete with respect to $\mathfrak{m}$-adic topology.

(ii). M contain a submodule which is isomorphic to the injective hull of $R/\mathfrak{m}$.

5. **Vanishing Theorem of Dual Bass numbers**

Let R be a Noetherian ring, M an R-module. A minimal flat resolution of M is an exact sequence of R-modules

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} F_i \xrightarrow{d_i} F_{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \longrightarrow 0,$$

such that for each $i \geq 0$, F_i is a flat cover of $\mathrm{Im}(d_i)$. The minimal flat resolutions of M exists and uniquely determined up to isomorphisms. Moreover, for any integer n, $\mathrm{fd}_R M \leq n$ if and only if $F_k = 0$ for any $k \geq n$. In this case, F_i is flat and cotorsion R-module for any $i > 0$, but F_0 may not be cotorsion in general. It is proved in [6] that F_i is uniquely represented as a product $F_i = \prod\limits_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Spec} R} T^i_\mathfrak{p}$, where $T^i_\mathfrak{p}$ is the completion of a free $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module with respect to the $p_\mathfrak{p}$-adic topology. In [7], for any $i > 0$, $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ is defined to be the cardinality of the base of a free $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module whose completion is $T^i_\mathfrak{p}$. On the other hand, $\pi_0(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ is defined similarly by using the pure injective envelope $PE(F_0)$ instead of F_0 itself. We call the $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M)$ the i-th Dual Bass number of M with respect to $\mathfrak{p}$. E. Enochs and J. Z. Xu show that for any R-module M over Noetherian ring R, there exists Cotorsion R-module E such that $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, E)$ for any $i \geq 0$, see Theorem 2.2 in [7]. One of the main results of [7] is the following: Let R be a Noetherian ring, M a Cotorsion R-module. Then $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{p})} \mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M))$, for any $i \geq 0$.

By the proof of Theorem 2.2 in [7], we could get following Lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in$ Spec R with $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, and M a Cotorsion R-module. Let the following exact sequence*

$$\cdots \xrightarrow{d_{i+1}} F_i \xrightarrow{d_i} F_{i-1} \xrightarrow{d_{i-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{d_0} M \longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal flat resolution of M. Then

$$\cdots \longrightarrow Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, F_{i+1}) \longrightarrow Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, F_i) \longrightarrow Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, F_{i-1}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, F_1) \longrightarrow Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, F_0) \longrightarrow Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a minimal flat resolution of $Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$ as an $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module, and if $F_i = \prod\limits_{\mathfrak{Q} \in Spec R} T^i_\mathfrak{Q}$, then $Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, F_i) \cong \prod\limits_{\substack{\mathfrak{Q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p} \\ \mathfrak{Q} \in Spec R}} T^i_\mathfrak{Q}$ is a flat Cotorsion $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module. In other words, $\pi_i(\mathfrak{q}, M) = \pi_i(\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M))$ for any $i \geq 0$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, M an Artinian R-module. Then for any $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Spec R, Cograde$_{R_\mathfrak{p}}$ Hom$_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) =$ inf$\{ \ i \mid \pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0\}$.*

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.1. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, F a flat Cotorsion R-module. Then*

$$F \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow Cos_R F \neq \emptyset.$$

Proof. Suppose that $F \neq 0$. Assume that $F = \prod\limits_{\mathfrak{p}\in \mathrm{Spec} R} T_\mathfrak{p}$, where $T_\mathfrak{p}$ is the completion of a free $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module with respect to the $\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$-adic topology. Then there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Spec R such that $T_\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$. Since $T_\mathfrak{p}$ is an $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module, we get $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, T_\mathfrak{p}) \cong T_\mathfrak{p} \neq 0$. Hence $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, F) \neq 0$, and thus $\mathrm{Cos}_R F \neq \emptyset$. Conversely, it is obvious. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, M a Cotorsion R-module. Then:*
(1). $fd_{S^{-1}R} Hom_R(S^{-1}R, M) \leq fd_R M$ for any multiplicative set $S \subseteq R$.
(2). $fd_R M = sup\{ \ fd_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \ Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \mid \mathfrak{p} \in Spec \ R \ \}$
$= sup\{ \ fd_{R_\mathfrak{m}} Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{m}, M) \mid \mathfrak{m} \in Max \ R \ \}$.

Proof. Let the following exact sequence of R-modules

$$\cdots \longrightarrow F_i \longrightarrow F_{i-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow F_0 \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow 0$$

be a minimal flat resolution of M. Then by Theorem 2.7 in [7], we have a minimal flat resolution of $S^{-1}R$-module $\mathrm{Hom}_R(S^{-1}R, M)$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(S^{-1}R, F_{i+1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(S^{-1}R, F_i) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(S^{-1}R, F_{i-1}) \longrightarrow$$

$$\cdots \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(S^{-1}R, F_1) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(S^{-1}R, F_0) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_R(S^{-1}R, M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Then (1) follows. By Lemma 5.3, (2) also holds. □

Proposition 5.4 is somehow dual to Corollary 2.3 in [1].

Definition 5.5. *A Noetherian ring R is called a **U ring**, if for any Artinian R-module M, $Ann_R(0 :_M \mathfrak{p}) = \mathfrak{p}$ for any $\mathfrak{p} \in V(Ann_R M)$.*

Notice that any complete Noetherian local rings are U rings by Proposition 2.1 in [5]. Let M be an Artinian R-module over a U ring. Then for any $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ M$,

$$\mathrm{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) < \infty,$$

because $(0 :_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M))}\ \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0 :_M\ \mathfrak{p})) \neq 0$, and any co-regular sequence in Noetherian ring must be of finite length.

Theorem 5.6. *Let R be a U ring, $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in$ Spec R such that $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p}$, $ht(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}) = 1$, and M an Artinian R-module. Then $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \pi_{i+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0$.*

Proof. For $x \in \mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}$, from the short exact sequence of $R_\mathfrak{p}$-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p} \xrightarrow{x/1} R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p} \longrightarrow R_\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, x/1) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get the following long exact sequences of $R_\mathfrak{p}$-modules

$$\cdots \to \mathrm{Tor}_{i+1}^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, x/1), \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) \to \mathrm{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) \xrightarrow{x/1}$$

$$\operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) \to \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, x/1), \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) \to \cdots .$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Hom}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{q}, \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M))) &\cong \operatorname{Hom}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{q}, \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{q}, M))) \\
&\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{q}, \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{q}, M)) \\
&\cong \operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{q}}(k(\mathfrak{q}), \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{q}, M)) \neq 0,
\end{aligned}$$

we have $\operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) \neq 0$. Thus $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Cos}_R\, (\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{q}, M))$. Notice that $\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{q}, M)$ is an Artinian R-module, then

$$\operatorname{Cos}_R\, (0 :_{\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{q},M)} x) = \operatorname{Cos}_R\, (\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{q}, M)) \cap V((x)).$$

Since $x \in \mathfrak{p}$, we get $\mathfrak{p} \in \operatorname{Cos}_R\, (0 :_{\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{q},M)} x)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
(0 :_{\operatorname{Tor}_i^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M))} x/1) &\cong (0 :_{\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, \operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{q},M))} x/1) \\
&\cong \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, 0 :_{\operatorname{Tor}_i^R(R/\mathfrak{q},M)} x) \neq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, x/1), \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) \neq 0$. Notice that $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}) = 1$, so $R_\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, x/1)$ is a finite length $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module. Let

$$0 = C_0 \subsetneq C_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq C_n = R_\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, x/1)$$

be a composition series of $R_\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, x/1)$ as an $R_\mathfrak{p}$-module. Then $C_j/C_{j-1} \cong k(\mathfrak{p})$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. By this we can draw a conclusion that

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(k(\mathfrak{p}), \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) \neq 0.$$

Otherwise, by induction on the length of $R_\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, x/1)$, we deduce that

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{i+1}^{R_\mathfrak{p}}(R_\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}, x/1), \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) = 0.$$

This induces a contradiction. □

Corollary 5.7. *Let R be a U ring, $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in$ Spec R such that $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$, ht$(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}) = s$, and M an Artinian R-module. Then $\pi_i(\mathfrak{q}, M) \neq 0 \Longrightarrow \pi_{i+s}(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0$.*

Proof. Using induction on $\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q})$. Then by Theorem 5.6, the Corollary follows. □

Corollary 5.8. *Let R be a U ring, $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Spec R, and M an Artinian R-module. If there some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = 0$ for any $i \geq n$, then fd$_{R_\mathfrak{p}}$ Hom$_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq n$.*

Proof. If $\operatorname{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) > n$, then by Lemma 5.1 there exists $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{q} \in \operatorname{Spec} R$, such that $\pi_{n+1}(\mathfrak{q}, M) > 0$. Then we get $\pi_{\operatorname{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q})+n+1}(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0$ by Theorem 5.6. This contradicts to the assumption. Thus $\operatorname{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq n$. □

To obtain the main result of this section, we first prove the following Proposition.

Proposition 5.9. *Let R be a Noetherian ring, M a strongly representable linearly compact R-module, $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Cos$_R$ M. If Cograde$_{R_\mathfrak{p}}$Hom$_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) < \infty$, then*

$$\mathit{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \mathit{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq \mathit{Co\text{-}dim}_{R_\mathfrak{p}} \mathit{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

Proof. Let $n = \mathrm{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$. We use induction on n.

For the case $n = 0$, this Proposition is obviously true.

Assume that $n > 1$, and this Proposition holds for $n - 1$. Let $x/s \in \mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}$ be a $\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$ co-regular element. Then $x/s \in R_\mathfrak{p} - \bigcup\limits_{\mathfrak{q}\in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M,\ \mathfrak{q}\subseteq\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{q}R_\mathfrak{p}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}(0 :_{\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p},M)} x/s) &= \mathrm{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0 :_M x)) \\ &= \mathrm{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) - 1 \\ &= n - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $x \in R - \bigcup\limits_{\mathfrak{q}\in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M,\ \mathfrak{q}\subseteq\mathfrak{p}} \mathfrak{q}$, and by induction hypothesis we get

$$\mathrm{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0 :_M x)) \leq \text{Co-dim}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0 :_M x)).$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Co-dim Cos}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, (0 :_M x)) &= \sup\{\ \mathrm{ht}\ (\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}) \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (0 :_M x),\ \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} \\ &= \mathrm{ht}\ (\mathfrak{p}/\mathrm{Ann}_R(0 :_M x)) \\ &\leq \mathrm{ht}\ (\mathfrak{p}/(\mathrm{Ann}_R M, x)) \\ &= \max\{\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/(\mathfrak{q}, x)) | \ \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M, \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} \\ &= \max\{\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}) - 1 | \ \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ M, \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\} \\ &= \text{Co-dim}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) - 1, \end{aligned}$$

we have $\mathrm{Cograde}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq \text{Co-dim}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$. □

The following Theorem is the main result of this section. We characterize the infimum and supremum of the index of non-vanishing Dual Bass numbers of Artinian modules over U rings, and study the relations among co-grade,co-dimension and flat dimension of co-localization of Artinian modules.

Theorem 5.10. *Let R be a U ring, M an Artinian R-module, $\mathfrak{p} \in Cos_R\ M$. Then:*

(1). *If $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0$, then $Cograde_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq i \leq fd_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$. If $Cograde_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = s$, then $\pi_s(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0$. ($R$ is a Noetherian ring, not necessarily a U ring, and $fd_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$ is possibly infinite).*

(2). *If $fd_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = t < \infty$, $\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in Spec\ R$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, then*

$$\pi_t(\mathfrak{q}, M) > 0 \Longleftrightarrow \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}.$$

(3). *If $fd_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = \infty$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $i \geq n$ such that*

$$\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0.$$

(4). *$Cograde_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq Co\text{-}dim_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq fd_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ Hom_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$.*

Proof. (1). By Proposition 5.2 we only need to show

$$\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0 \Longrightarrow i \leq \mathrm{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

For the case $\mathrm{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = \infty$, there is nothing to prove. Suppose that $\mathrm{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = r < \infty$. If $i > r$ such that $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) > 0$, then by Lemma 5.1 we get $\pi_i(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \pi_i(\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M))$. Thus $\mathrm{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \geq i$. This induces a contradiction. Hence $i \leq \mathrm{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$.

(2). Since $\mathrm{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = t < \infty$, then by Lemma 5.1 there must exist $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Spec}\ R$ such that $\pi_t(\mathfrak{q}, M) > 0$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{q} \subsetneq \mathfrak{p}$. Then by Corollary 5.7 we have $\pi_{t+\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q})}(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \pi_{t+\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q})}(\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) \neq 0$. This contradict to $\mathrm{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = t$. Hence $\mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{p}$. It is similar to prove the other side.

(3). This conclusion is followed by Corollary 5.8.

(4). By Proposition 5.9 we only need to show

$$\text{Co-dim}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq \mathrm{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M).$$

Let $\mathfrak{q}$ be a minimal element of $\mathrm{Cos}_R M$ with $\mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}$, by Theorem 4.5 in [3], we get $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Att}_R\ (R/\mathfrak{p} \otimes_R M) \subseteq \mathrm{Cos}_R\ (R/\mathfrak{q} \otimes_R M)$. Since

$$\pi_0(\mathfrak{q}, M) = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{q})} k(\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_{R_\mathfrak{q}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{q}, M) = \dim_{k(\mathfrak{q})} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{q}, (R/\mathfrak{q} \otimes_R M)) \neq 0,$$

by Corollary 5.7, we get $\pi_{\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q})}(\mathfrak{p}, M) = \pi_{\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q})}(\mathfrak{p}R_\mathfrak{p}, \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)) \neq 0$. On the other hand,

$$\text{Co-dim}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) = \max\{\ \mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{q}) \mid \mathfrak{q} \in \mathrm{Cos}_R M,\ \mathfrak{q} \subseteq \mathfrak{p}\}.$$

Hence $\text{Co-dim}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M) \leq \mathrm{fd}_{R_\mathfrak{p}}\ \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{p}, M)$. □

Corollary 5.11. *Let R be a U ring, M an Artin R-module. Then:*

(1). *Co-dim$_R$ $M \leq$ fd$_R M$.*

(2). *If fd$_R M = r < \infty$, and $\pi_r(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Spec R, then $\mathfrak{p} \in$ Max R.*

Proof. (1). Since $\text{Co-dim}_R\ M = \sup\{\ \text{Co-dim}_{R_\mathfrak{m}} \mathrm{Hom}_R(R_\mathfrak{m}, M) \mid \mathfrak{m} \in Max\ R\}$, by Proposition 5.4 (2) and Theorem 5.10 (4) we have $\text{Co-dim}_R\ M \leq \mathrm{fd}_R M$.

(2). If $\pi_r(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0$, and $\mathfrak{p}$ is not a maximal ideal. It follows that there exists a maximal ideal $\mathfrak{m}$ such that $\mathfrak{p} \subsetneq \mathfrak{m}$, then by Corollary 5.7 $\pi_{r+\mathrm{ht}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{p})}(\mathfrak{p}, M) \neq 0$. This contradict to $\mathrm{fd}_R M = r$. Hence, $\mathfrak{p}$ must be a maximal ideal. □

6. Acknowledgments

I am grateful to Professor Zhongming Tang for helpful discussions and suggestions. I would also like to thank Professor Xiaotao Sun and Dr. Yifei Chen for encouragement and help.

References

[1] H. Bass, On the ubiquity of Gorenstein rings, *Math. Z.* 82 (1963), 8-28.

[2] N. T. Cuong and T. T. Nam, On the Co-Localization, Co-Support and Co-Associated Primes of Local Homology Modules, *Vietnam J. Maths.* 29 (2001), 359-368.

[3] N. T. Cuong and L. T. Nhan, On representable linearly compact modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 130 (2002), 1927-1936.

[4] N. T. Cuong and L. T. Nhan, On the Noetherian dimension of Artinian modules, *Vietnam J. Maths.* 30 (2002), 121-130.

[5] N. T. Cuong and N. T. Dung and L. T. Nhan, Top Local Cohomology and the Catenaricity of the Unmixed Support of a Finitely Generated Module, *Comm. Algebra.* 35 (2007), 1691-1701.

[6] E. Enochs, Flat covers and flat cotorsion modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 92 (1984), 179-184.

[7] E. Enochs and J. Z. Xu, On invariants dual to the Bass numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 125 (1997), 951-960.

[8] E. Enochs and O. M. Jenda, *Relative Homological Algebra.* de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics. 30 (2000).

[9] D. Kirby, Dimension and length of Artinian modules, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford.* 41 (1990), 419-429.

[10] L. Li, Dual Bass Numbers and Co-Cohen Macaulay Modules, arXiv: math. AC/1009.2229 (2010).

[11] L. Melkersson and P. Schenzel, The co-localization of an Artinian module, *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 38 (1995), 121-131.

[12] R. N. Roberts, Krull dimension for Artinian modules over quasi-local commutative rings, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford.* 26 (1975), 269-273.

[13] Z. Tang and H. Zakeri, Co-Cohen-Macaulay modules and modules of generalized fractions, *Comm. Algebra.* 22 (1994), 2173-2204.

[14] Z. Tang, Co-Cohen-Macaulay modules and multiplicities for Arinian modules, *J. Suzhou Univ.* (natural science), 12 (1996), 15-26.

[15] J. Z. Xu, Minimal Injective and Flat Resolutions of Modules over Gorenstein Rings, *J. Algebra.* 175 (1995), 451-477.